Pradhan Mantri Awas Yojana - Urban

PMAY-U Awards 2021
100 DAYS CHALLENGE
21st June - 30th September, 2021

Standard Operating Procedure (SoP)

Ministry of Housing and Urban Affairs
Government of India

1. Introduction

Ministry of Housing and Urban Affairs (MoHUA), Government of India had instituted **'PMAY-U Awards for Implementation and Innovation'** under Pradhan Mantri Awas Yojana-Urban (PMAY-U) in the year 2019. Hon'ble Prime Minister announced the winners in different categories and presented Awards to Best Performing States on 1st January, 2021. The overarching purpose of instituting PMAY-U Awards was to recognize the outstanding performance by States/UTs, Urban Local Bodies (ULBs) and Beneficiaries under the Mission. On similar lines, Ministry has launched the next round of awards for the year 2021 with primary focus on **'100 Days Challenge'** to encourage healthy competition among States/UTs/ULBs and recognize their best performance.

Under this second edition of PMAY-U Awards, a challenge process has been introduced where States/UTs/ULBs are expected to perform on pre-defined indicators (*described in subsequent sections*) within a period of 100 Days beginning from 21st June till 30th September, 2021. Corresponding weightage of 50% has been assigned for this challenge. The States/UTs/ULBs who perform well under this component will have a better chance of winning the award.

The awards have broadly been classified into 3 major levels:
- State Awards, Municipal Corporations, Municipal Councils and Nagar Panchayats Awards
- Special Category Awards
- Beneficiary Awards

2. Purpose of Awards

PMAY-U targets the construction of 1.12 crore housing units by 2022, out of which about **1.12 crore houses** have already been sanctioned by MoHUA. So far, about **80 Lakh houses** have been grounded and **48 Lakh** are completed. Keeping in view the fact that there is diversity in approach towards planning, implementation and outcomes of projects amongst various States/UTs, it is pertinent to identify and award the **"Achievers"** and encourage others to compete and attain the goal of 'Housing for All' in a sustained manner.

The objectives of the PMAY-U award may be outlined as follows:
1. To recognize the achievements in the Mission.
2. To encourage healthy competition among States/UTs/ULBs for achieving the goal of 'Housing for All' within stipulated time.
3. To disseminate knowledge on effective methods of design and implementation.
4. To encourage innovations in successful implementation of projects.
5. To promote cross learning in resolving problems, mitigating risks and planning for successful implementation of the Mission.

3. Eligibility for Awards

- All States, Municipal Corporations, Municipal Councils and Nagar Panchayats are eligible for the Awards.
- Given the smaller share in overall housing shortage, Union Territories (UTs) shall be awarded under Special Category Awards.
- The Mission Director/ State Level Nodal Officer of PMAY-U shall be the focal person for awards in the respective States/UTs.
- Municipal Corporations are eligible to apply directly and the concerned Municipal Commissioner will be the focal person.
- Municipal Councils and Nagar Panchayats are eligible to apply through their respective States where they shall be nominating three best performing ULBs each for these two levels of awards, based on criteria described in forthcoming sections of this document.

Category-I

State, Municipal Corporation, Municipal Council and Nagar Panchayat Awards

The awards pertaining to Category I have been divided into Groups and levels as described below:

Grouping of States

The States are divided into two groups for the purpose of PMAY-U Awards 2021:

Group I: 18 States

Group II: 10 States (8 NER States, Himachal Pradesh and Uttarakhand)

Level and number of Awards

Category I has been classified into the following levels of awards.

Level	Award	Group I Awards	Group II Awards	Total Awards
1	Best Performing States	3	1	4
2	Best Performing Municipal Corporations	3	1	4
3	Best Performing Municipal Councils	5	3	8
4	Best Performing Nagar Panchayats	5	3	8
5	Special Category Awards			14
6	Total	16	8	38

Parameters of Evaluation

Awards are proposed to be evaluated on the basis of 4 broad parameters with corresponding weightage as given below:

Parameter A	100 Days Challenge	50%
Parameter B	Implementation of Scheme	25%
Parameter C	Outreach & Transparency	15%
Parameter D	Institutional Architecture of the Scheme	10%

The States/UTs, Municipal Corporations as well as Municipal Councils and Nagar Panchayats will be awarded on the overall composite score of all four parameters as above.

Evaluation Methodology

The 4 broad parameters will have corresponding sub-indicators. The evaluation for States/UTs and ULBs will be on the basis of the weightage/ score against each sub-indicator as described below:

S. No.	Parameters	Score
A	**100 Days Challenge**	
I	% of Cities with 100% HFA achieved against total Cities approved	15
II	% of Cities with 100% Grounding against total Cities approved	10
III	% of Beneficiary attachment against total houses approved	10
IV	% of Project closure against total projects sanctioned	10
V	% of Houses Geo-tagged against approval (BLC)	5
	Sub-Total	**50**
B	**Implementation of Scheme**	
I	% Houses Grounded for construction against approval	10
II	% Houses Completed against approval	5
III	% Houses Occupied against completion	5
IV	%Utilization Certificate submitted against total release	5
	Sub-Total	**25**
C	**Outreach & Transparency**	
I	Success Stories	3
II	Case Studies/ Best Practices	3
III	Social Audit	3
IV	PMAY-U Logo (BLC)	3
IV	Best House photographs	3
	Sub-Total	**15**
D	**Institutional Architecture of Scheme**	
I	% of Agencies making payment through DBT/EAT module of PFMS	3
II	% of Projects with all data compliance completed	3
III	% of Beneficiary attachment against total approved houses	2
IV	% Houses geo-tagged against approval (BLC)	2
	Sub-Total	**10**
	Total Score	**100**

Scoring Criteria

- For the sub-indicators mentioned in the evaluation methodology above, the scoring will be based on a combination of percentage progress coupled with indexing against each component. Indexing will be applied in order to provide level playing field and factor-in the size of the State and the quantum of work involved under the Scheme thereby. The weightage of Indexing and Progress percentage will be 75-25%.
- For evaluation of 'Parameter A' on 100 Days Challenge, the period of 100 Days from **21st June, 2021 till 30th September, 2021** will be considered.
- Progress of the scheme on Parameters B & D', will be evaluated considering the period since inception of the Scheme i.e. **June 2015 upto 30th September 2021. The sanction of houses till 51st CSMC (August 2020) will be taken as the basis for calculation of progress.**
- Progress of CLSS will not be considered for evaluation, as there are separate awards for this component under Special Category.
- Progress as indicated in the **online MPR** (Monthly Progress Report) submitted by the States/UTs will be considered as the basis for the purpose of evaluation. The MPR should be submitted latest by 10th of each month. The actual progress if not reflected in the MPR, will be the responsibility of State.

The details of scoring criteria against each parameter are given below:

Parameter A - 100 Days Challenge

As mentioned above, 50% weightage for evaluation of awards has been assigned to this parameter. The performance of States shall also be evaluated based upon achievement under defined criteria during 100 Days period starting from **21st June, 2021 till 30th September, 2021** . This will give an opportunity for States/UTs lagging behind, to expedite their progress and compete with other States. The '100 Days Challenge' shall carry 50 marks on the basis of range as mentioned below:

A. 100 Days Challange					
I	**% of Cities with 100% HFA achieved against total Cities approved**				**15**
Range	Below 10%	10-15%	16-25%	Above 25%	
Marks	3	5	10	15	
II	**% of Cities with 100% Grounding against total Cities approved**				**10**
Range	Below 5%	5-15%	16-30%	Above 30%	
Marks	0	3	6	10	
III	**% of Beneficiary attachment against total houses approved**				**10**
Range	Below 10%	10-20%	21-30%	Above 30%	
Marks	0	2	5	10	
IV	**% of Project closure against total number of projects**				**10**
Range	Below 5%	5-10%	11-20%	Above 20%	
Marks	1	3	6	10	
V	**% of Houses Geo-tagged against approval (BLC)**				**5**
Range	Below 5%	5-10%	11-20%	Above 20%	
Marks	0	1	2	5	
Total					**50**

I. % of Cities with 100% HFA achieved against total Cities: It refers to the number of cities out of total cities approved under the Scheme declared as 'HFA Achieved'. A city which has saturated their demand of houses with respect to sanction, completion and occupancy of all houses will be termed as 'HFA Achieved'.

II. % of Cities with 100% Grounding against total Cities: The percentage of cities against total cities approved under the Scheme for the State who have grounded all the houses sanctioned under the Mission.

III. %of Beneficiary attachment against total houses: Attachment of beneficiaries in the PMAY-U MIS is one of the requirements under the Scheme and pre-condition to release of Central Assistance. The percentage of such attachment against total houses approved under the Scheme including all verticals (except CLSS) will be evaluated. .

IV. %of Project closure against total projects: This component shall mean, percentage of projects which have completed all compliances as per para 14.8 and Annexure 9A of the PMAY-U Scheme Guidelines (2021). This shall also include raising request for release of 3rd instalment of Central Assistance with regard to total number of projects approved for a State. For instance, if total number of projects in a State is 200 where 50 projects are eligible for raising 3rd instalment request in MIS enabling closure of projects, the achievement under this head would be 25% which will be provided marks as per norms.

V. %of Houses Geo-tagged against approval (BLC): This component will evaluate the status of geo-tagging with respect to total houses approved under BLC component of the Scheme. However, the geo-tagged houses with only 'non-starter stage' shall not be included for the evaluation

Note: For ULB level awards, the evaluation of sub-indicator I & II i.e. 'HFA Achieved' and 100 % Grounding' will be on the basis of number of houses sanctioned for that particular ULB.

Parameter B - Implementation of Scheme

Larger goal of the Mission is to provide houses to the eligible beneficiaries within the Mission period. States/UTs are making diligent efforts to implement the projects while focussing on multiple facets of the Scheme, with an aim of faster grounding and completion. Timely submission of Utilization Certificates (UCs) is one of the critical aspects for release of next instalments and to enable uninterrupted project implementation. This parameter will consider period from inception of the Scheme till 30th September, 2021 with total weightage of 25%. carrying a total of 25 marks. For the purpose of scoring, different slabs for each sub-indicator has been formulated as below:

B. Implementation of Scheme				
I	**% of Houses grounded for construction against approval**			**10**
Range	Below 50%	51-60%	61-80%	Above 80%	
Marks	0	3	6	10	
II	**% of Houses completed against approval**			**5**
Range	Below 40%	41-50%	51-75%	Above 75%	
Marks	1	2	3	5	
III	**% of House occupied against completion**			**5**
Range	Below 60%	61-70%	71-80%	Above 80%	
Marks	1	2	3	5	
IV	**% of UC submitted against total release**			**5**
Range	UC below 70%	UC above 70%	UC 70%+3rd Inst. claimed	UC 80%+3rd Inst. claimed	
Marks	1	2	3	5	
Total				**25**

The sub-indicators I & II are self-explanatory, while in indicator III, houses under BLC (Beneficiary led Construction) component will be considered occupied, only if the houses are geo-tagged as completed. The houses under AHP/ISSR will be considered occupied, if allotment letters to the beneficiaries are issued.

The sub-indicator IV' (*% of UC submitted against total release*), the UC submission will be evaluated against total Central Assistance released till **31st March 2020**. As mentioned above, 2 marks is assigned if State has submitted UC for more than 70% of total fund released. 3 and 5 marks are assigned to those States who have submitted UC for 70% & 80% respectively and have also claimed 3rd instalments, for at least 25% of the projects in PMAY-U MIS.

<div align="center">

Parameter C - Outreach & Transparency

</div>

In order to achieve the goal of 'Housing for All' by 2022, widespread awareness generation about the Mission and its performance along with transparency and quality is of paramount significance. At the same time, conducting Social Audit is critical as it is linked with release of last instalment of Central Assistance. In view of the same, a weightage of **15 marks** has been assigned. The sub-parameters for evaluation are described as under:

I. Success Stories & Best Practices: States/UTs are required to submit quality success stories of beneficiaries explaining how the life has been transformed after getting pucca house under PMAY (U). The marks will be assigned on the basis of number of stories shared while adhering to quality of narration and impact of life transformation. Following marking pattern will be adopted:

No. of Stories	Marks
> 20	3
10-20	2
5-10	1
< 5	0

II. Case Study/ Best Practices: Under this category, States/UTs are expected to submit case studies and best practices which could be learning documents for other States. It may include any special initiative for special social group/ economic class of beneficiaries such as leprosy, widows, community etc. Following marking methodology will be adopted under this head:

No. of Case Study/ Best Practices	Marks
> 6	3
4-6	2
1-3	1
< 1	0

III. Social Audit: States/UTs/ULBs are mandated to conduct social audit of 5-10% of projects approved in a year by engaging an Independent Facilitating Agency. For the purpose of awards, States/UTs are required to furnish an undertaking for year-wise conducting social audit (at least for 3 years). These undertakings must be signed by the competent authority of States/UTs. Marks will be assigned in following fashion:

No. of years Social Audit conducted	Marks
1	1
2	2
3	3

II. PMAY-U Logo: All the houses constructed under BLC component of the Scheme must display the PMAY-U logo. For evaluation, random selection of 100 houses will be done from Geo-tagged photographs and % of logo inscribed on the houses will be considered in following fashion:

% Sample Success	Marks
> 80%	3
60-80%	2
40-60%	1
< 40%	0

III. Best House Photo: States/UTs are required to submit high resolution quality photographs of houses. For evaluation, percentage of photographs uploaded on PMAY-U Award portal against total number of houses completed will be considered as per details given below. Photographs of houses completed after July 2019 should be uploaded.

% Sample Success	Marks
> 80%	3
60-80%	2
40-60%	1
< 40%	0

Parameter D - Institutional Architecture

Since the success of the scheme depends on the basic institutional mechanisms established at the State and City level, it is prudent to assess the compliance on sub-indicators mentioned in the table below. The **'Institutional Architecture of Scheme'** shall carry 10 marks in total. For the purpose of scoring, different slabs for each sub-indicator have been formulated as described below:

D. Institutional Architecture of scheme					
I	**% of Agencies making payments through DBT & EAT module of PFMS**				**3**
Range	Below 50%	51-75%	76-90%	Above 90%	
Marks	0	1	2	3	
II	**% of Projects with all data compliance completed**				**3**
Range	Below 50%	51-75%	76-90%	Above 90%	
Marks	0	1	2	3	
III	**% of Beneficiary attachment against approval**				**2**
Range	Below 75%		76-90%	Above 90%	
Marks	0		1	2	
IV	**% Houses geo-tagged against approval (BLC)**				**2**
Range	Below 75		76- 90	Above 90%	
Marks	0		1	2	
Total					**10**

A brief description of sub-indicators is presented below for understanding the criteria.

I. % of Agencies making payments through DBT & EAT module of PFMS: As per directions of Ministry of Finance, all States/UTs have been directed to implement PFMS and ensure 100% usage of EAT (Expenditure Advance Transfer) and e-Payment (DBT) module within PFMS for all payments to Contractors or beneficiaries of PMAY-U projects, from 1st April 2021. Under this component, the evaluation will be based on % of agencies disbursing funds through PFMS platform only i.e. through DBT Module for BLC beneficiaries and EAT Module for AHP/ISSR contractors in those projects where Central Assistance has been released, out of total implementing Agencies involved in disbursement of funds to the beneficiaries/contractors in PMAY-U projects in the States/UTs.

II. % of Projects with all data compliance completed: This indicator implies that out of all projects approved under PMAY-U, what percentage of projects have complied all data requirement on the PMAY-U MIS portal such as updation of address and contact details of beneficiaries, addition of female name as joint beneficiary, annexure revision, if any etc.

Remaining two sub-indicators (III & IV) on beneficiary attachment and geo-tagging have already been explained under 'Parameter A' at page no.6.

Category-II

Special Category Awards

These State level awards are conceived for special recognition to the best practices implemented by the States with respect to innovation in policy, design, construction, monitoring, project, convergence with other schemes etc. There will be no scoring mechanism for any of these awards. Being special category awards, the *Award Screening Committee* will evaluate and recommend to *Empowered Committee* for final decision of the winner under each sub-category. The States/UTs might need to make a presentation before the Screening Committee which will be communicated at an appropriate time. The members of the Committee may also visit the States/UTs for final selection under each sub-category. The decision of the Committee shall be final.

A total of **14 Special Category Awards** have been conceived. The States/UTs will nominate their best practices/innovation under one or more category with salient details. Indexing method, as explained in the scoring criteria above will be applied wherever required.

The award categories are listed below:

State with highest percentage of HFA achieved Cities:
This category of awards will be given to the State which will have highest % of cities where 'Housing for All' has been achieved against total number of approved cities. The basis of evaluation will be through online MPR submitted by them

Best Performing States/UTs for implementation of ARHCs:
Performance for this award will be measured by percentage of Government funded vacant houses as reported by States/UTs and are already operational as Affordable Rental Housing Complexes (ARHCs) under Model-1. Three best States/UTs will be awarded accordingly.

Best AHP project under PPP model:
In order to promote affordable housing on PPP basis, the award will recognize the best project as nominated by State/UTs. The selection for this award will be based on combination of factors including grounding, policy, design, aesthetic, green initiatives and convergence.

Best State/UT for use of Innovative Construction Technology:
This award is to recognize the State/UT for adopting fast track and alternate construction technology in AHP/ISSR houses. It will be evaluated on the basis of percentage of total AHP/ISSR houses using alternate technology against total number of grounded houses during the award evaluation period.

Best 3 Community oriented Projects:
This category of award will recognize innovative community mobilization where project are being implemented for one particular community/group i.e. fishermen, leprosy patients, tribals etc.

Best performing State/ UT for implementation of CLSS:
The State with highest number of beneficiaries under CLSS during award evaluation period will be rewarded.

Best performing PLIs under CLSS:
The Primary Lending Institution (PLI) with highest number of cases under CLSS will be awarded.

Best performing HFCs under CLSS:
The Housing Finance Corporation (HFC) with highest number of cases under CLSS will be awarded.

Best performing SLTC/ CLTC under PMAY-U:
The State/UTs who has scored well in all parameters of evaluation such as grounding, completion, MIS compliances etc. the contribution of SLTC/CLTC as a team will be awarded.
r updating the joint ownership details in the fastest manner.

Best performing Union Territory under PMAY-U:
Best performing UT will be selected on the basis of 4 parameters as mentioned in 'Evaluation Parameters' as per *para 2* above.

Best State/UT for convergence with other Missions:
The States/ UTs having the maximum number of projects of convergence with other GoI/ State schemes would be selected for this award.

Best Policy Initiatives by States/UTs:
The State/UT with best policy to promote affordable housing, which has resulted in transformation of the lives of urban poor will be recognized and awarded.

Best State for Ge-tagging of AHP/ISSR projects:
The State/UT which has highest number of geo-tagging in proportion to the number of projects under AHP/ISSR will be awarded.

States completing 100% Joint Ownership entry in PMAU-U MIS in fastest manner:
Though women or joint ownership has been ensured as per the PMAY-U Guidelines, States/UTs are required to include the name of female in PMAY-U MIS as a joint owner of house in all cases of male beneficiaries, except in case of widower or unmarried/single male beneficiary. There will be 3 awards each in both group of States for updating the joint ownership details in the fastest manner.

Category-III
Beneficiary Level Awards

- States/UTs shall obtain **3 nominations** of best aesthetically constructed houses which are complete in all respect from each ULB through PMAY-U Award module in www.pmay-urban.gov.in.

- Transparent mechanism should be adopted for selection of these 10 best houses from the pool of houses nominated by all ULBs.

- The photographs should be shared for houses completed after July 2019.

- The States/UTs are required to send photographs of **10 best aesthetically constructed BLC houses** to the Ministry.

- While nominating 10 photographs, State/UT should choose only 1 photograph from single ULB.

- The Award Screening Committee shall choose 3 best houses from each State/UT for the award.

- These awards will be conferred to 105 beneficiaries in total, 3 from each State/UT.

4. Validation of Data

As mentioned in above sections, the evaluation of the awards will be based on progress reported in the MPR. The States/UTs needs to be careful while submitting the progress each month. In order to verify the data in the MPR submitted by States/UTs, a validation mechanism has been devised in PMAY-U Awards-2021. A provision of negative marking in the overall score of the States/UTs/ULBs is in-built if the physical and financial progress reflected in MPR does not match with the ground situation. The validation process will have two level of selection: at city level and houses/projects level. The samples of cities as well as houses/projects will be randomly decided for this purpose.

Following two-step process of negative marking will be adopted:

Step 1: **Adjusted Marks:** Percentage of samples of houses/projects failed out of total number of samples will lead to deduction of the same percentage of marks from the overall score.

Step 2: **Negative Marks:** On account of failure of more than 10% samples of houses/projects, further negative marks as in the table below on the adjusted marks will be applied:

% Sample of Houses/Project Failure	% of Negative marks
< 10%	0%
10%- 20%	5%
21%-30%	10%
31%-40%	20%
41%-50%	30%

For illustration, refer to the matrix and explanation below:

Sl.No	Total Marks	Marks Scored	% of sample failed	Deduction of marks	Adjusted marks	Negative marks	Final marks
1	100	90	8%	7.2	82.8	0	82.8
2	100	90	20%	18	72	3.6	68.4
3	100	90	40%	36	54	10.8	43.2

- A State declares that 40 cities have achieved HFA.
- Corresponding number of cities (4 @10%) and sample houses are selected.
- During validation process, if 8% of the samples do not match with the claim, corresponding marks i.e. 7.2 are deducted from overall score. No further deduction is applied in this case as shown at Sl. No. 1 in the above table.
- As mentioned at Sl. No. 2, in the above table, the percentage of failure of samples is 20%, hence, 18 marks are deducted from marks scored making 'adjusted marks as 72. The 20% failure further attracted additional deduction of 5% from adjusted marks i.e. 3.6 marks making final score 68.4, as per above table.

Following indicators will be verified on the ground through telephonic call and field visits on sample basis as mentioned below:

Sampling Method

Sl. No.	Indicators to be verified	City Selection	No. of Houses per city
1	% of Cities with 100% HFA achieved against total Cities	10% Cities/Towns of or minimum of 3 and maximum of 5 cities HFA Achieved	**_Municipal Corporation:_** 100 BLC houses + All AHP/ISSR or maximum of 5 projects
2	% of Cities with 100% Grounding against total Cities	10% Cities/Towns or minimum of 3 and maximum of 5 cities with 100% Grounding (Exclusive of cities selected for Sr. No. 1)	**_Municipal Council:_** 50 BLC houses + All AHP/ISSR or maximum of 5 projects
3	% Houses Grounded for construction against Sanction	5% of Cities/Towns or minimum of 3 and maximum of 5 Cities/ Towns (Exclusive of cities selected for Sr. No. 1&2)	**_Nagar Panchayat:_** 30 BLC houses + All AHP/ISSR or maximum of 5 projects
4	% Houses Completed against Sanction		
5	% Houses Occupied against completion		

The selection of cities with 100% grounding (Sl.No. 2) would be exclusive of cities reported under 'HFA achieved' (Sl. No. 1) category and preferably include all tiers of cities. Selection of 10% cities or maximum of 5 cities may be chosen preferably on the basis of number of sanctions in both BLC and AHP/ISSR verticals. For indicators as per Sl. No. 3, 4 & 5, the number of cities would be 5% or maximum of 5 cities/towns exclusive of cities selected earlier.

Sample houses within the city would be selected from various locations within the city for geographical representation.

5. Award Ceremony

All types of State, Municipal Corporation, Municipal Council, Nagar Panchayat level awards shall be given in the form of Scroll and Citation (Memento) in a special National Event to be held at New Delhi to be intimated later. The awardees shall receive their award by the highest level of the Government.

The Beneficiary level Awards would be given in the appropriate function organised by the respective State/ UT/ District administration.

6. How to Apply

Submission of Nomination:
The State/UTs and ULBs will be participants of these national awards. There will be 5 levels of competition:-

 Level 1 : Inter-State
Level 2 : Inter-Municipal Corporation
Level 3 : Inter-Municipal Council
Level 4 : Inter-Nagar Panchayats
Level 5 : Inter-beneficiaries.

For parameter **A, B** and **D** the evaluation will be through MIS system of the Scheme coupled with field visit by the Ministry's team. The progress as reported by States/UTs/ ULBs in **Online Monthly Progress Report (MPR)** will be considered for final evaluation. Therefore, States/UTs are advised to fill the MPR diligently.

For parameter **'C'** on Outreach and Transparency the success stories, best practices, house photographs excluding those which had been submitted for PMAY (U) Awards-2019 need to be uploaded on the PMAY-U Award module. Similarly, for Social Audit, undertaking for year-wise numberof Social Audit conducted for at least 3 years can also be given, duly signed by the competent authority.

The online mechanism of submission of applications along with detailed directions and manual will be communicated to the State/UTs by the Ministry separately, for filling up the applications and documentary requirement to support the evaluation process.

- For State awards, the SLNA of the State/UTs needs to upload the required documents under **'Parameter C'** under the PMAY-U award module in the Ministry's website.
- For Municipal Corporation award, all Municipal Corporations shall submit their documents through respective State/UTs. In turn, the State/UT, shall forward the same to the Ministry.
- For Municipal Councils/ Nagar Panchayats/NACs Awards, the States/UTs are requested to nominate 3 best performing ULBs in each category, based on indicators mentioned in this document. The documents pertaining to **'Parameter C'** for nominated ULBs to be uploaded by the State.
- For Beneficiary Level Awards, the States/UTs are requested to send 10 photographs of best houses constructed under BLC components in the State/UT out of which 3 will be awarded from each State. The nomination sent for PMAY (U) Awards-2019 should not be sent again.

All nominations from ULBs and SLNAs need to be submitted by **30th September 2021** after approval of the SLAC and SLSMC formed under PMAY-U Mission.

***Disclaimer:** Ministry on its own discretion may alter the number of awards, indicators and weightage for the award process, if required, without stating out the reasons thereof.*